

SAMUELSON, HAUSE & SAMUELSON, LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

300 GARDEN CITY PLAZA

GARDEN CITY, NEW YORK 11530

(516) 294-6666

FAX: (516) 294-6622

ELLIOT D. SAMUELSON
RICHARD L. HAUSE
WENDY B. SAMUELSON

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

November 21, 2000

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW, TW-A325
Washington DC 20554

E. Wendy Austrie,
Policy Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Room 3-B101
445 12th Street SW
Washington DC 20554

Re: RM#8658

Wireless Telephones should be covered by the Hearing Aid Compatibility Act of 1988

To Whom it May Concern:

I have a bilateral hearing loss and wear hearing aids. As an attorney, a digital wireless telephone is crucial to performing my job effectively. After spending countless hours trying out numerous digital wireless phone models, I concluded that there are no wireless phones that I am aware of that are compatible with the T-coil on my hearing aids, which caused me to purchase a very expensive phone (approximately \$200) and \$100 attachment. However, even with this attachment, I experience constant loud interference, which often makes communication difficult to impossible.

The current law covering digital wireless phones is not stringent enough to get industry to solve this problem. The "readily achievable" standard is too weak. We need the stronger standard of the Hearing Aid Compatibility law to solve this problem.

Congress directed the FCC to review the exemptions to the HAC Act. In response, the FCC announced it would do so at least every five years. It has not done any review.

Handwritten: 014
Listed: 008

Analog service is increasingly difficult to find, more expensive than digital, and offers less options (including but not limited to email, voicemail, caller ID, and text messaging). The need for full access to digital wireless phones, not just for emergency use but in all aspects of daily life and especially at work is crucial. Digital wireless phones are competing to offer cheaper service than regular wireline phones and could replace wireline service in our homes in the future. This would cause hearing aid users to have no effective means of communication in the future.

Now is the time to have wireless phones subject to a law with more teeth so that people with hearing loss can use them and be assured of having access to an increasingly vital technology. The FCC should do away with the exemption for wireless phones under the Hearing Aid Compatibility Act of 1988.

Sincerely,

Wendy B. Samuelson

Encl.

Office of the Sec'y original and 4 copies

Austrie, original and 4 copies

Battat 1 copy

cc.

SHHH

Brenda Battat